SINGLE BENCH

CF-1501 (4)

(2)

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, CHHATTISTGARH at BILASPUR.

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 4611 / 2006.

PETITIONER : **Devashish De Muzumdar**
S/o Late Shri. D.N.De Muzumdar
Aged around 36 years,
Unemployed.
Residing at : Qr. No.MIG-316,
New Borsi Sector No.2,
BHILAI - 490001
Tehsil and Dist. : Durg (CG).

P.R. No. 4651/06
Presented by Shri. B.P.Rao
dated 21/12/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :
1. The Managing Director (SAIL),
Bhilai Steel Plant,
Ispat Bhawan, **BHILAI - 490001**
District : Durg (CG).

2. The Deputy Manager,
(Personnel-Recruitment)
Bhilai Steel Plant,
BHILAI – 490001
District : Durg (CG)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 / 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE ORDER AND DIRECTIONS IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARY, MANDAMUS ETC.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP(S).4611.... **सन् 2006**

(20)

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

30-8-2006

Heard Mr. B.P. Rao, counsel for the petitioner.

By the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed that the respondents be directed to consider the case of the petitioner for compassionate appointment on account of the retirement of the petitioner's mother on medical ground.

The case of the petitioner is that his mother was employed as H.A. (Nursing) in S-4 of Medical Department of Bhilai Steel Plant. Subsequently, on medical ground the mother of the petitioner was declared Permanent Medical Unfit for the job by the Medical Board of Bhilai Steel Plant. Based on that, the petitioner's mother was discharged from service vide order dated 26-8-1997 and on that basis, the petitioner submitted a representation for compassionate appointment. Even after the lapse of 6-7 years, the respondents have not considered the case of the petitioner for compassionate appointment.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that on similar ground number of persons have been appointed on compassionate appointment, whereas, the case of the petitioner is not being considered. Therefore, the respondents be directed to consider the representation of the petitioner and pass appropriate order in the matter.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and having perused the petition and the documents, the prayer made by learned counsel is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, respondent No.2 is directed to scrutinize the representation submitted by the petitioner for compassionate appointment and pass final order on the application considering the case of the petitioner for compassionate appointment, in accordance with the policy of respondent No.1.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C.Bhadoo
Judge

Soma

P.T.O.